UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/533,348	02/17/2006	Marinus Lambertus Wilhelmus Van De Sande	54950/A394	8999		
	23363 7590 08/11/2008 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068			LOW, LINDSAY M			
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3721			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/11/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,348	VAN DE SANDE, M LAMBERTUS WILH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LINDSAY M. LOW	3721		

		LINDSAY M. LOW		3721	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>30 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION	I FOR ALI	LOWANCE.	
app app for	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oblication, applicant must timely file one of the following olication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Citiods:	replies: (1) an amendment eal (with appeal fee) in con	t, affidavit npliance v	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
. =	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from t b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
have beer under 37 (set forth ir may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending the state of the st	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for than three months after the n	g amount o reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp og the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extentice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3.	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a finely raise new issues that would require further con a finely raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo.) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or. They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.	nsideration and/or search (w); ter form for appeal by mate	(see NOT erially red	E below); ucing or simplifying th	
4.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of	f Non-Con	npliant Amendment (I	
7. X For how The Cla Cla Cla	n-allowable claim(s). r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) w the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: him(s) allowed: him(s) objected to: him(s) rejected: 1 and 3-6. him(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9.		b) □ will	be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und	ler appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
REQUES	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu				
<u>S</u> 12.	ee Continuation Sheet. ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (ther:			condition for allowant	ce because.
/Rinald	di I Rada/ isory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721				

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner asserts that Simeone is relied upon to show the use of applying two different types of bands at the same time. It is acknowledged that Van der Wal and Simeone operate differently, however both devices apply two bands around a packet. Therefore it would be within the abilties to one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that simultaneously applying two different types of bands would aid in facilitating opening of the packet.